



City of Greenville
Design Review Board – Neighborhood Design Panel
Minutes of the **September 5, 2019** Regular Meeting
10th Floor – Council Chambers 3:00PM
Meeting Notice Posted on Wednesday August 21, 2019
Minutes prepared by Chad Hall

Members Present: Monica Floyd, Matt Tindall, Fred Guthier, Allison Tucker and Jermaine Johnson

Members Absent: None

Staff Present: Jay Graham, Planning and Development Manager; Logan Wells, Assistant City Attorney; Courtney Powell, Senior Development Planner; Brennan Williams, Development Planner; Chad Hall, Development Planner

Call to Order:

Chairwoman Monica Floyd called the meeting to order at 3:03 PM. She welcomed those in attendance and explained the procedures for the meeting. The minutes of the August 1, 2019 meeting were approved unanimously. The agenda for the September 5, 2019 meeting was unanimously accepted. All affidavits were received and no conflicts of interest were cited.

New Business:

- A. **CA 19-616** Application by Emily Infinger for a **CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS** for a new single-family detached house on property located at **403 OVERBROOK RD** (TM# 0192000101001)

Hall presented the proposed detached single-family house to the DRB, describing the similarities and differences of the new house design compared to the existing structure (approved for demolition June 6, 2019). Of note, the siting of the house will be more central to the lot, though not of the same orientation as the original home, per the Sanborn fire insurance maps.

The architecture is very similar to the existing house. Materials will also be the same as existing, with a brick base, wood siding and an asphalt shingle roof. The colors have been selected to closely resemble the colors on the existing house.

Some details have been extrapolated from the existing house, but maybe to a fault, such as off-center doors and windows, multiple changing roof pitches and various window sizes/alignment.

The designer, Emily Infinger, expanded upon site decisions and the architectural language of the proposed house.

Two people spoke in support of the request; there was no opposition.

The Board discussed the proposed design and felt that it could be improved to eliminate some of the oddities that carried over from the original design. The applicant agreed to defer a decision in order to meet with two members of the board to modify certain elements of the current design.

Tindall made a motion to defer the request in order to meet with two members of the board to advance the design. It was seconded by Guthier. The motion passed unanimously.

Adjourn:

Having no other business, the meeting adjourned at 3:35 pm.